SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012/IJBcRR/1622**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Association of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Insertion/Deletion Polymorphism with Hypertension in Dyslipidemic Egyptian Patients with Coronary Artery Disease.**

(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)*
General comment:	**The MS highlights the importance of ACE gene polymorphisms in predisposition of the metabolic disease.**	
Specific comments:	Language to be improved to large extent .The presentation of the data in the tabular forms is too elaborate	
Title and abstract	Title and abstract are precise and per specifications.	
Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*	The study is well defined and the introduction needs more refining in presentation.	corrected
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	Introduction could be little more elaborate with more references	corrected
Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*	Materials and methods have standard protocols and relevant to the kind of data presented	
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	Data is robust and well defined; tables are many in number. The results must be more elaborative and need to be explained. The discussion is precise inferring all the findings in other populations as well. Statistical analysis is a must for this study and it has been carried out appropriately.	The tables are actually many, but their citation is important for the integrity of the paper. Corrected
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	None	
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	Conclusion is supported by the data presented in the MS	
References	References are in format	